PG

#### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 201 250 HE 013 726

AUTHOR Larkin, Paul: Clagett, Craig

TITLE Sources of Faculty Stress and Strategies for Its

Management.

INSTITUTION Prince George's Community Coll., Largo, Md. Office of

Institutional Research.

REPORT NO PGCC-81-13

PUB DATE [81] NOTE 19p.

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Flus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS \*College Faculty: Community Colleges: \*Faculty

College Relationship: Higher Education: Improvement

Programs: Job Satisfaction: Noninstructional

Responsibility: \*Organizational Effectiveness: Power

Structure: Problem Solving: \*Stress Variables:

Student Teacher Relationship: \*Teacher Administrator Relationship: \*Teacher Alienation: Teacher Attitudes

Teacher Employment Benefits: Teacher Morale: Teacher

Role: Teacher Welfare

IDENTIFIERS Prince Georges Community College MD: \*Teacher

Stress

#### ABSTRACT

Views on sources of stress on college faculty and strategies for its management were obtained at small-group sessions in the 1980 faculty orientation at Prince George's Community College, Maryland, Sixteen faculty groups generated 218 responses expressing sources of job stress, a complete listing of which is appended. The responses were aggregated into the following four categories: academic affairs or faculty-associated problems, student affairs or student-associated problems, business affairs or fiscally-related problems, and college-wide or miscellaneous problems. Concerns included the following: time pressures or constraints related to the functioning of the college bureaucracy (nonteaching duties, the evaluation process): dissatisfaction with support for the faculty (marketing and retention pressures, not enforcing prerequisites): concerns about wages and contracts and physical plant operations: and not being involved in college decision-making. Stress management responses were also analyzed in the same four categories, and the distribution of problem-solving perceptions among groups was also checked. A list of the strategies is appended. Additionally, possible crganizational responses to professional burnout were classified into the following four approaches: the authoritarian-moral approach, the clinical approach, the training approach, and the systems approach. It is suggested that a useful approach to analyzing organizational behavior is the di mostic matrix, which is appended. This approach permits analysis is to who is contributing to what (membership and t), and how they are doing it (control). (SW) institutional pro



THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN PS 100
DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED
THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ON STATED DO NOT NECESSARIA SENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTEDUCATION POSITION OR POLICIA

5

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

- Paul Zarin

TRINCE GEORGE'S COMMUNITY COLLIDE

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOUR. F. INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

Report No. 81-13: Sources of Fact 1/2 Stress and Strategies for Its Management

Intro. at:

F important body of data resulted from and -group sessions in the 1980 fincult or mentation. The topic was "facult, or mout." Sources of stress in Lagrang strategies particular to our College were at issue. Accord to william white, the resource person or discussion leader, manife. afform of faculty stress may be physical or /chological, or behaviora write had prouped symptoms of process ou stress under six head=~gu Those affecting an individual's healt ⊯havior, emotional elacionstips, attitudes, and values Est according to another sole Maslach, 1978), "burmout" can to the fined as a distinct kind of facult samess. It a would be the emorated an austion resulting interpolational contact." An example would be a professional losing in ath for his in her students, andernoon other or into symplom might mange from indening by cynical "put downs." mo ulcers, with consequent as her no of he normance (mahn, 1971). an emotionally tr ing say say a ren still its, a similar out facting per might want to ten family and interes (Mesiach, p. 57). get help in everypod.

But it is originally used to describe some impolems of social workers in ging conditions in righer succeits have led to its application to try members. Demant for occunitability have grown as ability sentering students have declined. The future looks differer from the same professionals expected when they got into teaching.

Organizations indicators of professional burnout include (1) low morale.

(2) tunfigurating, (3) "we-they" sublarizations, (4) conflicts over authority.

I subspection organizational leaders, (6) assenteeism or resignations.

Trigid communication channels (White 1980).

Previou EEE och

Recent studies investigating sources of stress in education have been mostly at the elementary or secondary school level. Such studies have tended to rely on data from questionnaires. Kyriacou and Sutcliffe (1977, p. 305) tints i out some problems of this method:

Sum methods fail to take into account the fact that different leachers may interpret the meaning of the questions differently, that their responses may be affected by ego-defensive processes, and that teachers may genuinely lack insight into their situation. Furthermore, stress is essentially multifactorial, and as such research must aim not simply to identify the sources of stress, but also to uncover the pattern of relationshaps.

Ackrawleaging these standards, what sources of stress have been identified in prior research? A review of seven studies (Coates and



1213726

Thoresen, 1976) found source of stress in time demands, troubles with students. Targe places size francial constraints, and lack of resources. A literature review (Kyria. In and Sutcliffe, 1977) reported that clerical duties, regative student attroudes, inadequate salaries, poor working conditions, and too wide a mage of student abilities were common compaints. Wide ability range was mentioned in several studies.

Lack of faculty participation in planning has been experienced as another stress factor. Teachers who fel "decisionally deprived" reported significantly lower satisfaction and more job tension (Belasco and Alutto, 1972). Some teachers were uncomfortable working an impersonal school assembly little. They said the mad "no control over the products, the design of the encrymous and the mad "no control over the products, the design of the encrymous and the modificance of accrete that final result" seeden 1971, p. 32. Three conditions control out to teacher frustrator (Access, 1971; (1) conflicting values, 2) increased demand for public accretion, and (1) "the good shearend ethic," requiring teachers against success (student-centered teaching and individualized instruction). This is difficult to do fin today's mass education. Public education has all to subordinate diversity for efficiency, creativity to standardized curricula, and individual attention to group-oriented instruction. For some faculty members, commitment to the individual development education are and dissective with self as we'll as the system. At the when end of the spectrum, maintaining high standards at the cost of cost of cost of the class control is proceed as new set of tensions.

Metrice of the Analysis

Burnouth orkshap at the time of the fall law prientation. The workshop leader has assigned fitulty members randomly so small groups which met twice. In the morning session, articipants were instructed to less the greatest saurces of stress experienced in performing their college roles. These scores of stress were written on newsprint, for posting in a large meeting fall immediately after the small-group process. A similar procedure was repeated in the afternoon, when the groups discussed specific strategies for rataging stress. The workshop leader reviewed these responses at the linal meeting of the total group. The newsprint record therefore constituted the data base for this report. The responses were aggregated into dategories reflecting the way the College is organized. Responsed were analyzed to determine now often the sixteen small groups mentions a problem or solution, and the number of groups expressing a similar poin of view

Findings

The sixteer fact by groups generated 218 responses expressing sources of job stress. (A complete response listing is given in the Appendix.) These were aggregated into four classifications:



		Number of Mentions	Percent of Mentions
Α.	Academic affairs or faculty- associated problems	71	32%
В.	Student affairs or student- associated problems	61	28%
С.	Business affairs or fiscally- related problems	32	15%
D.	College-wide or miscella- neous problems	54	25%
	TOTAL	218	100%

The frequency count of responses suggested the intensity of feeling about stressor categories. Another measure showed how wide-spread the perceptions were, namely the number of faculty groups mentioning each item. This distribution of mentions across different groups was found to resemble the pattern of "total mentions." Thus the responses were consistent in showing that the perceptions of stress source were wide-spread at the same time that they were intense.

# Academic Affairs/Faculty-Associated Problems

There were 71 mentions classifiable under the heading of academic affairs or faculty-related problems. An important underlying concern was seen to be time pressures or constraints related to the functioning of the College "bureaucracy" (non-teaching duties, the evaluation process, no compensatory time to improve programs). In addition, there were some signs of apathy and boredom related to "not being involved" in the academic community (faculty apathy, no faculty interaction, bored with the same thing over dover). The complete list is shown in Table 1.

# Straint of a rs/Student-Associated Problems

Another tee 61 student affairs/student-related stressors, an important expect so ed to be dissatisfaction with support for the faculty (marketing and retention pressures, not enforcing pre-requisites, not backing up faculty in student disputes). Another element had to do with the calibre or aggressiveness of students (ability decline; student hostility, complaints, apathy, ability range in the same class).

## Business-Related Problems

There were 32 stress sources identified under the business heading (see Table 1, continued). These included concerns about wages and contracts, physical plant operations, and personal safety or theft in the evenings.



# College-Wide or Miscellaneous Problems

Among the 54 "higher policy level" or miscellaneous problems we prominent mention of not being involved in the decision making, be treated like children, and over-doing the use of restrictions are actions to solve problems --- perhaps with favoritism instea of the deformemit. The picture emerges of some feeling the are part of account group" with respect to confeder-wide problem solving and go and schipter-emert.

# Strategies for Coping with Stress

Stress management responses were also analyzed in the categories. This was to see how the solutions "matched up the problems. Another interest was to discover how the stress-specifics could be implemented within the College's existin angenic cional structure. The findings were as follows (see Table 2 for a college).

	Number of Mentions	Percent or
A. Academic affairs or faculty- associated issues	. 39	õ!.
B. Student affairs or student- related issues	31	
C. Business affairs or financially-related issues	26	
D. College-wide or miscella- neous issues	57 ——	
TOTAL	153	1

The distribution of problem-solving perceptions among gro. s  $\bar{\epsilon}$  is checked. It appeared to reflect the percentage distribut the number of mentions.

# Academic Affairs/Faculty-Related Strategies

There were 39 stress-management strategies classifie — 1 the academic affairs or faculty-associated area. Some of these were not ecific signs of need for faculty support in the role of instruction. — ples: promote high standards/excellence, faculty support groups, support recurriculum development or a variety of instructional methods.) Other sagestions appeared to see low value or even uselessness in certain time-consuming activities that could be "decided" out of existence. (Sample: simpler evaluations, eliminate certain meetings.) A few had to do with innovations in teaching activity. (Examples: part time - full time partnerships, teaching new courses outside the department.)



Student Iso es

to do in the student arena was somewhat clearly expressed:
(1 extracts the pre-requisites and place students effectively; (2) publicities statement of student responsiblisties; and (3) support faculty men they have conflicts with student

and Issues

The the desire to share fiscal decidences seems to tostly non-specific, some was seemed imaginative: increase resources for conferences, create a common seem opment office, establish foundations of courage and received the courage and received th

ol -wiz Miscellaneous Islues

communication, rewards for merit and positive thinking were marked of what could be done College-wide. Some suggestions noted the need of interact with the world outside the College (Exchange programs, outside interests or work, getting away during abatical time) as a way of all of gistress. It was noted that 57 strategies for stress-management has a top be classified under broad categories such as better communication.

teri tation Criteria

- At discussion leader, William White classified possible organizational responded to professional burnout into four approaches:
- (1 The Authoritarian-Moral Approach: Burnout shows character problems or malice. The organization should therefore impose sanctions. This approach ignores situational factors, stops communication, and avoids seeing the underlying problems.
- (2) The Clinical Approach: Burnout indicates individual deviance or pathology. Clinical diagnosis and therapy are indicated. This approach individualizes relationship problems and f to look at the total work environment. It can isolate the individual and hasten the burnout process.
- (3) The Training Approach: Burnout results from skill deficiencies. The organization can provide training for stress and time management. This approach ignores the need to change the environment.
- (4) The Systems Approach: Burnout is a breakdown in mutually supportive interactions between individual and community. Individual troubles are seen as "family" problems. The answer is to change the work situation and support the individual, at least by contributing a climate where coping methods can work. Typical objectives are to medify the sources of stress while improving access to support networks.

The Diagnostic Matrix

One useful approach to analyzing organizational behavior is the "Diagnostic Matrix." (See appendix.) This approach permits analysis into who is contributing to what (membership and institutional product), and



how they are doing it (control), as the organization mulfills its mission. The following represents an analysis of stress signithman e in the context of diagnostic elements which describe organizational context.

#### Energy ants

## Yield - el lited students

- Role
- 1. Structure
- 4. Power
- 5. Goals
- 6. Communicat
- 7. Inclusion
- 8. Status
- 9. Norms

## Arie 115

- 1. Not much problem, more concer about studen spilities at the input stage.
- Not much pro em, but some smits
   of faculty at "experts" feelow
   dissatisfied inh administration.
- Some frustration about the wa faculty needs are being met.
- 4. Persuasion is being asked for when it's time for many to act together.
- 5. Not clear to some faculty how College goals relate to their values on the one hand, and performance on the other.
- Needed to support faculty, direct mutual energy, and share information about the goal of an ecucated, self-determining and highly skilled student.
- The sense of belonging seems strong, but give-and-take could stand some improvement.
- 8. More recognition and reward seem needed for meritorious individual initiative of faculty members.
- 9. As conditions have changed (older, female, more minority students), some seem to be trying to keep up 1970 standards, while others formulate new standards for 1990. There doesn't seem to be adequate communication to bridge the thrust of these different efforts.

#### Discussion

More extensive dialogue would be needed to be more specific as to what to do about stress. But some general strategies seem indicated. Administators might try to be more sensitive to faculty problems expressed here.



Faculty members might try to communicate with each other which stressors are unavoidable. The changing community college mission, the changing student population, and the tightening emphasic situation are factors we cannot avoid in our changing society. What might administrators do? Give information as problems arise. Increase faculty participation in decisions that affect them directly. Where no communice is feasible, explain clearly. A few faculty comments suggested that some decisions were seen as "arbitrary," orders from authority, with little or no justification. The faculty, as professionals, seem to empet clear statements of rationale, perhaps in greater depth, compared with states might well be explored under the authority and leadership of the deams. But there is also a collective responsibility for managing change and consider. This neemight be considered in the master planning process.

Paul Larkin
Director of Institutional Research ,

Craig Clagett Research Analysa Institutional Research



Table 1
PRINCE GEORGE'S COMMUNITY COLLEGE
Sources of Faculty Stress

	# of <u>Mentions</u>	# of <u>Groups</u>
Academic affairs or faculty-associated problems	71	n.a.
Too many non-teaching duties, committees, required meetings	13	8
Faculty apathy	11	8
Evaluation process time-consuming, lacks credibility	9	7
No faculty-faculty interaction	7	7
Unequal class sizes, classes too large	7	6
No release time to update programs, no sabbaticals	6	6
No opportunities for professional growth	4	4
Too many part-time faculty, or part-time faculty misperceptions	4	4
Bored doing same thing over and over	3	3
Associate Dean's role ambiguity	2	2
Inconsistent grading standards	2	2
Weak Faculty Senate	2	2
Covering material in 16 weeks	1	1



# Table 1 (Cont.) Sources of Faculty Stress

	# of <u>Mentions</u>	# of <u>Groups</u>
Student affairs or student-associated problems	61	n.a.
Marketing emphasis on retention, pressures to reduce standards, quantity vs. quality	17	10
Decline in ability	11	10
Student hostility, complaints	9	7
Lack of student motivation, interest	9	6
Wide range of ability in same class	5	5
<pre>Inadequate enforcement of pre-requisites,   improper placement</pre>	3	2
Administration does not back up faculty in disputes with students	2	2
Uncertainty of schedules	2	2
Changing student population	2	2
Student smoking in buildings	1	1
Business affairs or fiscally-related problems	32	n.a.
Inadequate salaries, inflation, ceilings	7	6
No security, terminal contracts	6	5
Improper room temperature	5	4
Inadequate office space	4	3
Lack of faculty support resources	3	3
Personal safety, theft (evenings)	2	2
Parking inconvenient	2	2
Distance between classes	2	2
Predictions of 'doom and gloom'	1	1



Table 1 (Cont.)
Sources of Faculty Stress

	# of <u>Mentions</u>	# of Groups
College-wide or miscellaneous problems	54	n.a.
Lack of faculty influence in planning or decision-making	20	12
Paternalistic attitude towards faculty, lack of trust in faculty	10	7
Too many rules and regulations	8	5
Arbitrary decisions, favoritism	4	4
No rewards for hard work, excellence	4	4
Restrictions on outside employment	3	2
No recognition of faculty achievements	2	2
Too many administrators	2	2
No time for family, outside interests	1	1

SOURCE: Original records from small-group sessions, Faculty Orientation, August 1980.

2/24/81



Table 2
PRINCE GEORGE'S COMMUNITY COLLEGE
Strategies for Managing Stress

	# of <u>Mentions</u>	# of <u>Groups</u>
Academic affairs or faculty-associated issues	39	n.a.
Promote high standards, academic excellence	9	6
Reduce and simplify evaluations	5	4
Eliminate required meetings during Orientation	4	4
Set up faculty support groups	4 ·	4
Reinstitute sabbatical leave	3	3
Provide time/support for curriculum development	3	3
Teach at students' level	3	3
Allow small sections of advanced courses	2	2
Use a variety of instructional methods	2	2
Extend time final exams date grades due	1	1
Pair part-time teachers with full-time faculty	1	1
Balance class sizes	1	1
Teach new courses even outside department	1	1



Table 2 (Cont.)
Strategies for Managing Stress

	# of Mentions	# of <u>Groups</u>
Student affairs or student-related issues	31	n.a.
Enforce prerequisites, realistic placement	12	9
Agree on and publicize student responsibilities	9.	7
Support faculty in conflicts with students	5	5
Increase student-faculty interaction	2	1
Involve faculty in high school articulation	1	1
Switch to a quarter system	1	1
Enforce the student code of conduct	1	1
Business affairs or financially-related issues	26	n.a.
Share all fiscal/managerial decision- making with faculty	9	7
Maintain physical plant, security	5	4
<pre>Increase resources for conferences, pro- fessional development</pre>	4	3
Have realistic cost-of-living increases	3	3
Create a grant development office	2	2
Establish a foundation to encourage donations	1	1
Provide pay incentives for further education	1	1
Provide early retirement incentives	1	1



Table 2 (Cont.)
Strategies for Managing Stress

	# of <u>Mentions</u>	# of <u>Groups</u>
College-wide or miscellaneous issues	57	n.a.
Foster faculty-administration communication	9	9
Develop outside interests	8	6
Recognize and reward faculty excellence	6	5
Role exchange programs (other institutions?)	6	4
Think positively	6	4
Establish faculty-administration committee to implement workshop findings	4	4
Attend, speak up at Board meetings	4	3
Learn stress/time management skills	3	3.
Vacation away from the area	3	2
Transfer administrative jobs to faculty .	3	1
Support union	2	2
Physical exercise	2	2
Permit employment outside College	1	1

SOURCE: Original records from small-group sessions, Faculty Orientation, August 1980.

2/24/81



Formal Structure Organ. P GOALS. ROLES YIELD Person. C STATUS POWER STRUCTURE COMPAINI-M · INCLUSION NORMS CATION C P M Informal Structure

Membership Factors: GCALS, STATUS, INCLUSION, NORMS, COMMUNICATION

Control Factors: POWER, STRUCTURE, ROLES, STATUS, NORMS

Product Factors: GOALS, ROLES, YIELD, STRUCTURE, COMMUNICATION



#### GRID FACTORS IN M-C-P DIAGNOSTIC MATRIX

## FORMAL ELEMENTS

YIELD: A product factor. The end-results of task production which affect the organization's contribution to its environment, to its own self-maintenance, and to the people who serve in the organization. The material realization of the organizational goals. YIELD is seen as both external and internal, as tangible and qualitative, as reward and punishment, as new potential and depletion, as a series of transactions within the environment that express the values of those holding membership.

ROLE: A control-product factor. Prescribed patterns of behavior identified with specific organizational functions. ROLES focus and direct use of energy, clarify relationships between members, and groups within an organization, and define the hierarchial structure. They represent functions and relationships that are effectively more important to the organization than to the individual.

STRUCTURE: A control-product factor. The formal definition of how an organization will accomplish its goals, including how its various parts are to work together. The ordering together of people and resources in defined relationships that channel work flow (energy) towards production. STRUCTURE is often though of as rigid but in reality is highly responsive to internal and external influence.

## FORMAL-INFORMAL BRIDGE ELEMENTS

POWER: A control factor. Ability to influence decisions about people and resources. POWER is organic and/or vested; having to do with both inherent capabilities of an individual and assigned authority. POWER can be taken or given by use but not by abdication. In an organization, POWER is directed through the formal channels of roles and structure, and through the informal channels of status and norms. POWER is expressed as control (force), as manipulation (hidden influence), or as persuasion. It is exercised personally by virtue of the way a person perceives himself in relationship to other persons and groups.

GOALS: A membership-product factor. The targets toward which purposeful focus of energy is directed within an organization, the material results of which is yield. To be useful, GOALS will be time-structured, specific, feasible, measurable, known by those whose efforts will be needed for their accouplishment, and able to elicit the necessary commitment. A useful set of GOALS will also provide a basis for evaluation at some future point in time. GOALS are the tie-rods between the organization and its environment, between the



formal and informal structures of the organization, between internal maintenance and production needs and between group/team identification and the meeting of personal needs of the people in the organization.

COMMUNICATION: A membership-product factor. The process of sending and receiving data which has purposeful meaning to both sender and receiver. Within an organization, COMMUNICATION takes place in well defined but different ways within the formal and informal structures. It is used to establish and support human relationships, to direct and focus energy, and to transmit information needed for product functions. Diagnostically, the direction, quantity and quality of interpersonal communication is a behavioral statement of the functional health of the organization.

## INFORMAL ELEMENTS

INCLUSION: A membership factor. The process of integrating new members into an organization and the maintenance of a sense of belonging with older members. Relationship building; job training; organization awareness. INCLUSION involves the feelings and behavior of people and their confrontations with similarities and differences in each other, the building of give-and-take relationships, and coping with the dynamics of acceptance and rejection.

STATUS: A membership-control factor. The quantitative ability to be influential in decision making as a product of the combined authority vested in the role and the individual initiative of the person.

NORMS: A membership-control factor. NORMS are the unwritten rules of group behavior (the informal structure) which are initiated and maintained by collective human behavior. These are influenced initially by the expectations, attitudes and assumptions which members bring to a group. A NORM is feeling-oriented and sanctioned by consensus, as the result of real or implied behavior in groups. It is operative only as long as it is reinforced by further behavior or stands unchallenged by differing behavior. NORMS can be perceived as restrictive or generative, according to their influence on the effective functioning of the organization.



#### **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- Adams, David W. "Tired and Frustrated Teachers," Today's Education, Vol. 64, No. 1 (1975), 37-41.
- Allutto, Joseph A., and James A. Balasco. "Patterns of Teacher Farticipation in School System Decision Making," *Educational Administration Quarterly*, Vol. 9, No. 1 (1973), 27-41.
- Belasco, James A., and Joseph A. Allutto. "Decisional Participation and Teacher Satisfaction," *Educational Administration Quarterly*, Vol. 8, No. 1 (1972), 44-58.
- Coates, Thomas J., and Carl E. Thoresen. "Teacher Anxiety: A Review with Recommendations," *Review of Educational Research*, Vol. 46, No. 2 (1976), 159-179.
- Forsyth, Patrick B., and Wayne K. Hoy. "Isolation and Alienation in Educational Organizations," *Educational Administration Quarterly*, Vol. 14, No. 1 (1978), 80-96.
- Hoy, Wayne K., and Cecil G. Miskel. Educational Administration: Theory, Research, and Practice. New York: Random House, 1978.
- Kahn, Robert. "Job Burnout: Prevention and Remedies," *Public Welfare*, Vol. 36, No. 2 (1978), 61-63.
- Kuhlman, Edward, and Wayne K. Hoy. "The Socialization of Professionals into Bureaucracies: The Beginning Teacher in the School," *The Journal of Educational Administration*, Vol. 12, No. 2 (1974), 18-27.
- Kyriacou, Chris, and John Sutcliffe. "Teacher Stress: A Review," Educational Review, Vol. 29, No. 4 (1977), 299-306.
- Maslach, Christina. "Job Burnout: How People Cope," *Public Welfare*, Vol. 36, No. 2 (1978), 56-58.
- Maslow, A. H. "A Theory of Human Motivation," *Psychological Review*, Vol. 50 (1943), 370-396.
- Miskel, Cecil, and Ed Gerhardt. "Perceived Bureaucracy, Teacher Conflict, Central Life Interests, Voluntarism, and Job Satisfaction," The Journal of Educational Administration, Vol. 12, No. 1 (1974), 84-97.
- Peabody, Robert L. "Perceptions of Organizational Authority: A Comparative Analysis," Administrative Science Quarterly, Vol. 6 (1962), 463-482.
- Selden, David. "Teachers and Community: Partners or Enemies," *Journal of Education*, Vol. 158, No. 3 (1976), 31-47.



- Sergiovanni, Thomas, and Fred Carver. The New School Executive. New York: Harper and Row, 1980.
- Stephens, Thomas. "Innovative Teaching Practices: Their Relation to System Norms and Rewards," Educational Administration Quarterly, Vol. 10 (1974), 35-43.
- Thornton, Russell. "Organizational-Professional Commitment and Supervision of the Junior College Teacher," *Educational Administration Quarterly*, Vol. 7 (1971), 25-39.
- White, William L. Managing Personal and Organizational Stress in Institutions of Higher Education. Rockville, Maryland: H.C.S., Inc., 1980.

